

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/729,865	12/05/2003	En-Hsing Chen	023-0029	8494	
22120 75	90 07/28/2006		EXAMINER		
-	BRIEN GRAHAM LLP	NGUYEN, VAN THU T			
7600B NORTH SUITE 350	CAPITAL OF TEXAS HI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AUSTIN, TX 78731			2824		
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/729,865	CHEN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		VanThu Nguyen	2824		
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appe	ars on the cover shee	et with the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM 7 Extensions of time may be available under the properties of the p	FHE MAILING DA' rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136 his communication. dmum statutory period will for reply will, by statute, of months after the mailing of	TE OF THIS COMMI (a). In no event, however, m I apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to become	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
 1)⊠ Responsive to communication 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 3)□ Since this application is in conclosed in accordance with the 	2b)☐ This and the condition for allowand	ection is non-final. ce except for formal r	•	ne merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20,22,23 and 27-66</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-20</u> 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,27 and 28</u> is/are re 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to	<u>,22,23,29-60</u> is/ar jected. d to.	e withdrawn from co			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 05 April Applicant may not request that ar Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	il 2004 is/are: a)∑ ny objection to the dr cluding the correctio	accepted or b) crawing(s) be held in abon is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re		Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date	CO 452)	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	1449 of PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-192)	

Application/Control Number: 10/729,865 Page 2

Art Unit: 2824

Response to Amendment

1. Acknowledgement is made for Amendment filed on 06/12/2006.

1. Claim 1, 27-28 remain under examination.

2. Claims 21, 24-26 are cancelled in Amendment filed 06/12/06.

3. Claims 2-20, 22-23, 29-60 are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,380,636 (Tatsukawa).

Regarding claim 1, Tatsukawa discloses an integrated circuit comprising a memory array (memory cell array MA, see FIG. 7) including memory cells arranged in a plurality of series-connected NAND strings (see FIG. 8), said memory cells comprising modifiable conductance switch devices (floating gate memory cells MC11-MC22, which are programmed by tunneling current), said NAND strings including at a first end thereof a respective plurality of series selection devices of like type (select transistors DG1 and SGD1 connected to the first end of the NAND string on the left, select transistors SGS2 and DG4 connected to the first end of the NAND string on the right, see FIG. 8), wherein each NAND string includes a second plurality of series selection devices of like type at a second end thereof (select transistors SGS1 and DG2 connected to the second end of the NAND string on the left, select transistors DG3 and SGS2

connected to the second end of the NAND string on the right, also see FIG. 8), and wherein pairs of NAND strings are arranged so that (pair of NAND strings shown in FIG. 8):

a first group of control signals couples to the respective second end of one string of the pair to a global array line associated with the pair, and couples the respective first end of the other string of the pair to a respective bias node (control signals SS1 and SD2 connecting NAND string on the right to the main bit line MBL, and NAND string on the left to a program inhibit voltage); and

a second group of control signals couples the respective first end of said one string of the pair to a respective bias node, and couples the respective second end of the other string of the pair to the global array line associated with the pair (control signals SS2 and SD1 connecting NAND string on the left to the main bit line MBL, and NAND string on the right to a program inhibit voltage).

(See column 13, line 17 to column 14, line 18)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tatsukawa in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,411,548 (Sakui).

Tatsukawa discloses, as applied in prior rejection of claim 1, all claimed subject matter except further limitations as set forth in claims 27-28.

Regarding claim 27, Sakui also discloses series selection devices having a charge storage dielectric (select gate transistors S1(s) having block insulating films 40SSL between the control gate 27SSL and charge storing layer 26SSL, see FIG. 44).

Since Tatsukawa and Sakui are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Sakui would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Tatsukawa.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to implement the structure of select gate transistors substantially the same as that of each memory cells for the purpose of reducing the area of the chip and the manufacturing cost (see column 39, line 55 to column 40, line 6).

Regarding claim 28, Sakui further discloses series selection devices are maintained by periodic programming biasing to a higher threshold voltage than fabricated (see FIGS. 52-53).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 27-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2824

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VanThu Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1881. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on (571) 272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 17, 2006

VanThu Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2824